PREFACE

These ordering guidelines cover the information needed to use the Information Technology Services – Small Business (ITS-SB) contracts to obtain information technology (IT) services within the continental United States (CONUS). These contracts were awarded under the Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act (FASA), the Clinger-Cohen Act, and Section 803 of the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2002, which require that the prime contractors be provided with a fair opportunity to be considered for task order (TO) awards. The contracts are structured as indefinite delivery indefinite quantity (IDIQ) contracts, using task orders for acquisition of specified services. These contracts are available to the Army, Department of Defense (DoD) and other Federal agencies.

Questions regarding these guidelines and procedures for placing orders against the contracts should be directed to Computer Hardware, Enterprise Software and Solutions (CHESS). Questions of a contractual nature should be directed to the Procuring Contracting Office (PCO), Army Contracting Command - Rock Island (ACC-RI). These guidelines will be revised, as needed, to improve the process of awarding and managing orders under the ITS-SB contracts.

Computer Hardware, Enterprise Software and Solutions (CHESS)
ATTN: SFAE-PS-CH
9351 Hall Road,
Fort Belvoir Virginia 22060-5605
Toll Free Customer Line 1-888-232-4405
Poeoes.pdchess.helpdesk@us.army.mil

Army Contracting Command - Rock Island (ACC-RI)
ATTN: CCRC-DC
BLDG 102 2ND Floors, SW Wing
1 Rock Island Arsenal
Rock Island Illinois 61299-8000
309-782-5369
jeffrey.s.gollon.civ@mail.mil

Information regarding the ITS-SB contracts, including links to the prime contractors’ home pages, can be found at: https://chess.army.mil
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CHAPTER 1
ITS-SB GENERAL INFORMATION

1. OVERVIEW

ITS-SB is a Multiple Award Indefinite Delivery Indefinite Quantity (MA IDIQ) contract vehicle. It is the Army’s source of Small Business Service contracts for IT-related services within CONUS. The purpose of ITS-SB is to meet the Army’s enterprise infrastructure and infostructure goals with a full range of innovative, world-class IT support services and solutions at a reasonable price.

Working in partnership with the prime contractors, CHESS manages the contracts, in coordination with ACC-RI. Through the use of ITS-SB, users have a flexible means of meeting IT service needs quickly, efficiently and cost-effectively.

Ordering under the contracts is decentralized and is authorized to meet the needs of the Army, DoD and other Federal agencies. Orders may be placed by any contracting officer from the aforementioned agencies. There is no fee to utilize the ITS-SB contract.

2. PURPOSE

This ITS-SB Ordering Guide establishes mandatory procedures for ordering against these MA IDIQ contracts. All applicable terms, conditions, requirements, ceilings, periods of performance, types of task orders (TO), ordering procedures, options, competition thresholds, basis for award of TOs, funding, quality assurance and metrics are provided in this guide. This guidebook does not replace the mandatory elements of the FAR and its supplements. In the event of a conflict between this guidebook and the mandatory elements of the FAR and its supplements, the FAR and its supplements take precedence.

3. APPLICABILITY

This Ordering Guide is applicable to all contracting organizations that will be placing orders against the ITS-SB MA IDIQ contracts. Ordering under the contracts is decentralized and is authorized to meet the needs of the Army, DoD and other Federal agencies. Orders may be placed by any contracting officer from the aforementioned agencies. There is no fee to utilize the ITS-SB contract.
4. SCOPE

ITS-SB contract scope encompasses a full range of innovative, world-class IT support services and solutions at a reasonable price. Fixed Price (FP), Time and Materials (T&M), and Cost Reimbursement (CR) Task Orders are authorized under this contract. Contract line items (CLINs) cover the following services:

- IT solution services
- IT subject-matter expert
- IT functional area expert
- Incidental construction
- Other direct costs
- IT solution equipment
- Travel and per diem
- IT solution software
- IT solution – other direct costs (ODCs)

A listing of the task areas covered in the contracts is in Contract Section C.2.1. Copies of the ITS-SB contracts can be found on the CHESS IT e-mart. The IT e-mart website is https://chess.army.mil. Services will be acquired by issuing individual TOs.

Contract types will be determined in accordance with the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) and Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) based on the circumstances of each order.
5. PRIME CONTRACTORS

Following is a list of the ITS-SB prime contractors and their respective contract numbers. Subcontractors/teaming partners for each prime contractor, if applicable, are listed in Attachment 1. Links to the prime contractor websites can be found at the CHESS ITS-SB website [https://chess.army.mil](https://chess.army.mil)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prime Contractor</th>
<th>Contract Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ActioNet</td>
<td>W91QUZ-11-D-0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creative Computing Solutions, Inc.</td>
<td>W91QUZ-11-D-0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering Services Network, Inc.</td>
<td>W91QUZ-11-D-0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterprise Information Services, Inc.</td>
<td>W91QUZ-11-D-0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exeter Information Technology Services, LLC</td>
<td>W91QUZ-11-D-0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Research Corporation</td>
<td>W91QUZ-11-D-0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Innovators, Inc.</td>
<td>W91QUZ-11-D-0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link Solutions, Inc.</td>
<td>W91QUZ-11-D-0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-Cubed Information Systems</td>
<td>W91QUZ-11-D-0009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MicroTechnologies, LLC</td>
<td>W91QUZ-11-D-0010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NetCentrics Corporation</td>
<td>W91QUZ-11-D-0011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNVC, L.C.</td>
<td>W91QUZ-11-D-0013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superlative Technologies, Inc.</td>
<td>W91QUZ-11-D-0014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4, LLC</td>
<td>W91QUZ-11-D-0015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tantus-OnPoint ATO Systems Support, LLC</td>
<td>W91QUZ-11-D-0021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. CONTRACT TERMS

Separate, multiple awards were made for ITS-SB with the following contract terms and provisions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract Ceiling</th>
<th>The total amount of all orders placed against all ITS-SB contracts Shall not exceed $400 million over the life of the contract. “When the ceiling is reached, no other TOs, modifications or options will be awarded or exercised. All TOs must be completed within six months of the MA IDIQ contract expiration.”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Period of Performance</td>
<td>Five (5) years: 36-month base period One 24-month option period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pricing Structure</td>
<td>Fixed-Price Time and Material Cost Reimbursement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance- Based Contracting</td>
<td>Shall be used to the maximum extent practicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair Opportunity to be Considered</td>
<td>Subject to FAR 16.505(b) and DFARS 216.505-70(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordering Guidance and Process</td>
<td>See Chapter 3 below and Contract Section J, Attachment 4, Task Order Procedures</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. PERFORMANCE-BASED SERVICE ACQUISITION (PBSA)

PBSA is an acquisition structured around the results to be achieved as opposed to the manner by which the work is to be performed. Policy promulgated by the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2001 (PL 106-398, section 821), FAR 37.102 and FAR 16.505(a), establishes PBSA as the preferred method for acquiring services. In addition, for defense agencies, DFARS 237.170-2 requires higher-level approval for any acquisition of services that is not performance-based.

Accordingly, it is expected that most ITS-SB orders will be performance-based. A Performance Work Statement (PWS) or Statement of Objectives (SOO) should be prepared to accompany the Task Order Request (TOR) to the ITS-SB contractors. See Attachments 2, 3, 4, and 5 for further information on PBSA and specific details and resources for the preparation of a PWS or SOO.
8. FAIR OPPORTUNITY TO BE CONSIDERED

- In accordance with 10 U.S. Code § 2304c(b) and FAR 16.505(b), the contracting officer must provide each ITS-SB contractor a fair opportunity to be considered for each order exceeding $3,000 unless an exception applies.

- In addition, orders placed by or on behalf of the DoD must also comply with the requirements of DFARS 216.505-70. For orders exceeding $150,000, DFARS 216.505-70 requires the contracting officer to (i) provide a fair notice of the intent to make the purchase, including a description of the supplies to be delivered or the services to be performed and the basis upon which the contracting officer will make the selection, to all ITS-SB contractors; and (ii) afford all contractors responding to the notice a fair opportunity to submit an offer and have that offer fairly considered.

- FAR 16.505, DFARS 216.5, and Chapter 3, Paragraph 9(c), below contain procedures on exceptions to the fair opportunity process, as well as details on the applicability and implementation of fair opportunity to be considered.

9. SITUATIONS REQUIRING HARDWARE OR SOFTWARE ACQUISITION

- **Software**: In situations where it is necessary to purchase new commercial software, including preloaded software, to satisfy the requirements of a particular TO, the contractor will first be required to review and utilize available DoD Enterprise Software Initiative (DoD ESI) agreements.

  If software is not available to the contractor through a DoD ESI source, the contractor shall be authorized to obtain the software through an alternate source. **For Army users, a waiver is required from CHESS when acquiring non-ESI software regardless of the dollar value.** The customer shall access the waiver process located on the web at [http://chess.army.mil/static/wvrs](http://chess.army.mil/static/wvrs), the waiver should be included in the TO upon award.

  For DoD users, a Non-DoD contract certification and approval is required for software buys, except for the Microsoft Premier IAW DFARS 217.78. This is required because the ESI Blanket Purchase Agreements (BPAs) are established against GSA IDIQs.
Commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) Hardware and Related Software: If hardware and related software are required for a particular TO, the CHESS hardware and software contracts are the preferred source of supply. For Army users, it is the mandatory Source for hardware and software in accordance with AFARs 5139.101. CHESS has a representative sample list on their website of commercial IT products and software available for procurement by customers worldwide. If the hardware and related software required is not available on a CHESS contract, the contractor shall be authorized to obtain the hardware through an alternate source. **For Army users, a waiver is required for purchase of products from another source regardless of dollar value.** The listing of COTS hardware available from CHESS sources can be viewed on the web at [https://chess.army.mil](https://chess.army.mil) the customer shall access the waiver process, located on the web at [http://chess.army.mil/static/wvrs](http://chess.army.mil/static/wvrs). Note: The waiver shall be included in the TO upon award.

10. PROTESTS

FAR 16.505(a) (10) (I) provides,

No protest under Subpart 33.1 is authorized in connection with the issuance or proposed issuance of an order under a TO contract or delivery-order contract, except for—

(A) A protest on the grounds that the order increases the scope, period or maximum value of the contract; or

(B) A protest of an order valued in excess of $10 million. Protests of orders in excess of $10 million may only be filed with the Government Accountability Office, in accordance with the procedures at 33.104.

(ii) The authority to protest the placement of an order under this subpart expires on September 30, 2016, for DoD, NASA and the Coast Guard (10 U.S.C. 2304a (d) and 2304c (e)), and on September 30, 2016, for other agencies (41 U.S.C. 4103(d) and 4106(f)).
CHAPTER 2
ITS-SB ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

The following is a summary of the roles and responsibilities for the primary organizations in the ITS-SB contract process.

1. ARMY CONTRACTING COMMAND – ROCK ISLAND (ACC- RI)
   - ACC-RI serves as the MA IDIQ Contracting Officer for the ITS-SB MA IDIQ contracts. The MA IDIQ Contracting Officer has overall contractual responsibility for the ITS-SB MA IDIQ contracts. All orders issued are subject to the terms and conditions of the contract. The contract takes precedence in the event of conflict with any order.
   - The MA IDIQ Contracting Officer provides advice and guidance to requiring activities, ordering contracting officers and contractors regarding contract scope, acquisition regulation requirements and contracting policies.
   - The MA IDIQ Contracting Officer approves and issues contract modifications.
   - Represents the contracting officer position at various contract-related meetings, including ITS-SB executive council meetings, in-progress reviews (IPRs), negotiating sessions and working meetings.

2. U.S. ARMY COMPUTER HARDWARE, ENTERPRISE SOFTWARE AND SOLUTIONS (CHESS)
   - Designated by the Secretary of the Army as the Army’s primary source for commercial IT.
   - Performs the functions of Project Director (PD) for the ITS-SB MA IDIQ contracts.
   - Maintains the IT e-mart, a web-based, e-commerce ordering and tracking system. The IT e-mart website is: https://chess.army.mil.
   - With support from the Communications-Electronics Command, Information Systems Engineering Command (ISEC), Technology Integration Center (TIC), assists Army organizations in defining and analyzing requirements for meeting the Army’s enterprise infrastructure and infostructure goals.
■ Works with requiring activities, including those outside of the Army, to help them understand how ITS-SB can best be used to meet their enterprise requirements.

■ Conducts periodic meetings with the prime contractors, e.g., quarterly IPRs, to ensure requirements, such as approved DoD standards, are understood.

■ Provides the MA IDIQ contract level Contracting Officer’s Representative (COR) and will be appointed by the MA IDIQ Contracting Officer.

3. REQUIRING ACTIVITY

Defined as any organizational element within the Army, DoD or other Federal agencies.

■ Adheres to the requirements and procedures defined in the ITS-SB contracts and these ordering guidelines.

■ Defines requirements.

■ Prepares TO requirements packages.

■ Funds the work to be performed under ITS-SB orders.

■ Provides personnel to evaluate proposals submitted.

■ Provides past performance assessments.

■ Monitors and evaluates contractor performance.

■ Provides justification and rational for all requests to limit competition and when requiring a brand name. Preferred method is brand name or equal.

3. ORDERING CONTRACTING OFFICER

■ Ordering contracting officers within the Army, DoD and other Federal agencies are authorized to place orders within the terms of the contract and within the scope of their authority, provided in Chapter 3, General, below.

■ They are not authorized to make changes to the MA IDIQ contract terms. The ordering contracting officer’s authority is limited to the individual orders, which cannot exceed the scope of, or alter the terms of the original MA IDIQ contract.

■ Serves as the interface between the contractor and the Government for individual orders issued under the ITS-SB contracts

■ Responsible for determining if bundling of requirements, (see FAR 2.101), is in compliance with FAR 7.107
■ Responsible for determining whether consolidation of requirements, compliance and approval are in accordance with DFARS 207.170

■ Responsible for the requesting, obtaining and evaluating proposals and for obligating funds for orders issued.

■ Ordering contracting officer is the only person authorized to make any changes to their individual TO.

■ Serves as the local contracting focal point for coordination and awarding TOs for customers

■ Ensures TO requirements are within the MA IDIQ contract scope

■ Ensures appropriate documents have been obtained prior to issuance of TOR

■ Ensures approval in accordance with (IAW) FAR 16.602 for the T&M Determination and Finding; if the Performance Work Statement (PWS) is not performance-based, ensures approval by the designated authority as specified in DFARS 237.170-2, etc.;

■ Coordinates DD 2579 through the Small Business Administration (SBA) Local Procurement Center Representative (SBA PCR)

■ Complies with fair opportunity for consideration of a requirement and competing all TOs among MA IDIQ contractors

■ Keeps Contractor submission requirements to a minimum

■ Uses streamlined procedures, including oral presentations

■ Establishes the TOR time IAW established milestone procedures. The length of time between release of the TORs and submission of Task Order Proposals (TOPs) shall be commensurate with the complexity of the requirement

■ Develops evaluation factors/subfactors and establishes weights among factors in coordination with customer requirements

■ Ensures Contractor Manpower Reporting (CMR) requirement is part of the TOR requirements package and that the requirement is included in the PWS of the resultant TO

■ Ensures Quality Assurance Surveillance Plans (QASPs) and TO metrics are part of the TOR and that the requirements are included in the award

■ Coordinates TORs with the Principle Assistant Responsible for Contracting (PARC) at the appropriate threshold, and obtain approvals prior to execution
Issues TORs

Receives TOPs; convenes evaluation boards; conducts negotiations; writes Task Order Decision Documents (TODD)

Coordinates award of TORs with the PARC at the appropriate threshold, and obtains approvals prior to execution

Awards TORs

Notifies unsuccessful offerors and debriefs offerors

Appoints Contracting Officer’s Representative (COR) at the Order Level IAW Army Regulation (AR) 70-13

Monitors TO metrics

Prepares a Contractor Performance Assessment Report (CPAR) if required

Closes out TOs

Notifies MA IDIQ Contracting Officer and CHESS of any performance issues on any TOs at any dollar value.

4. ORDER CONTRACTING OFFICER’S REPRESENTATIVE

Ordering CORs will be designated by letter of appointment from the ordering contracting officer.

Serves as the focal point for all task activities and primary point of contact for the contractors.

Provides technical guidance in direction of the work; is not authorized to change any of the terms and conditions of the contract or order.

Shall use the measures and standards set forth in the QASP to assess contractor performance, thereby ensuring the quality of services required by the TO are met.

Obtains required agency COR training.

Note: For Army requirements the ACC’s COR Guide provides a list of approved COR training courses:  https://www.us.army.mil/suite/doc/24452057&inline=true

Ensures the contractor completes their CMRs as required.
6. CONTRACTORS

The principal role of the contractor is to perform services and/or deliver related products that meet requirements and/or achieves objectives/outcomes described in orders issued under the ITS-SB contracts. These contractors are all highly technically qualified and have satisfied the full competition and past performance requirements of the MA IDIQ award process. Ensures performance and deliverables meet the requirements set forth in the master contract and individual TOs.

- Performs work and provides the services/supplies in accordance with the terms and conditions of the TO and prescribed levels of quality control.
- Segregates cost data by TO and within each TO pursuant to the terms of the TO.
- Submits a TOP IAW the request from the ordering office.
- Notifies the Ordering Contracting Officer in writing stating no bid (when the contractor decides to not submit a TOP).
- Collect and report data for the Contractor Manpower Reporting for Army requirements.

7. OMBUDSMAN

In accordance with 10 U.S. Code § 2304c(e) and FAR 16.505(b)(6), ITS-SB contractors that are not selected for award under a TO competition may seek independent review by the designated ombudsman for the ITS-SB contracts. The ombudsman is responsible for reviewing complaints from the contractors and ensuring that all ITS-SB contractors are afforded a fair opportunity to be considered, consistent with applicable procedures and established guidelines. The designated ombudsman for the ITS-SB contracts is:

Amy Vansickle, Army Sustainment Command (ASC)
Rock Island, IL 61299-8000
309-782-1002
amy.j.vansickle.civ@mail.mil
CHAPTER 3
ITS-SB ORDERING GUIDANCE

1. GENERAL
   - All ITS-SB MA IDIQ contracts contain TO procedures in Section J, Attachment 4. Additional detailed procedures are included herein.

   - Ordering is decentralized for all ITS-SB requirements. Ordering under the contracts is authorized to meet the needs of the Army, DoD and other Federal agencies. There are no approvals, coordination or oversight imposed by the MA IDIQ Contracting Officer on any ordering contracting officer. Ordering contracting officers are empowered to place orders in accordance with the terms and conditions of the contracts, ITS-SB ordering guidelines, FAR, DFARS (as applicable), and their own agency procedures.

   - The Ordering Contracting Officer shall obtain an approved Acquisition Strategy in support of their TOR if the TOR meets the requirement for an Acquisition Strategy.

   - The MA IDIQ Contracting Officer will not make judgments or determinations regarding orders awarded under the ITS-SB contracts by an ordering contracting officer. All issues must be resolved consistent with individual agency procedures and/or oversight.

   - Upon request, the MA IDIQ Contracting Officer is available to provide guidance to ordering contracting officers executing orders under the ITS-SB contracts.

   - The CHESS IT e-mart at https://chess.army.mil is available to make price comparisons among all awardees and solicit competitive quotes. The ordering contracting officer will initiate the TO process by issuing a TOR to all awardees via the CHESS IT e-mart, https://chess.army.mil/. When posting a TOR, customers will identify specific delivery instructions for proposal responses. Contractors will indicate their interest via CHESS IT e-mart, however, proposal packages shall be delivered by means identified in the TOR.

2. TO TYPES
   - The types of TOs allowed under the ITS-SB contracts are FP, cost reimbursement, T&M, or a hybrid of any of those three types. The preferred contract type is FP. When selecting the TO
type, consider the contract administration requirements and ensure the contract administration
team is capable of supporting the contract type selected. If T&M TOs are used, FAR 16.601(d)
provides that a T&M contract may be used only if the KO prepares a determination and findings
(D&F) that no other contract type is suitable and the D&F is approved at the appropriate level.
Pursuant to FAR 16.601(d)(1)(ii), the head of the contracting activity (HCA) must approve the D&F
prior to the execution of the base period when the base period plus any option periods exceeds
three years. Therefore, before a TO can be awarded, ordering offices shall prepare and have
approved a D&F for use of a T&M TO. The Ordering Contracting Officer is responsible for all
necessary approvals to use a T&M type contract.

3. ORDER LIMITATIONS

The total amount of all orders placed against all contracts, awarded from this solicitation, shall not
exceed $400,000,000 over a five (5) year period of performance (36-month base period and one,
24-month option period). The contract maximum represents the total requirement for the life of
the contract (including options, if exercised).

In accordance with FAR Clause 52.216-19, Order Limitations:

When the Government requires supplies or services covered by this contract in an amount of less
than $50.00, the Government is not obligated to purchase, nor is the Contractor obligated to
furnish, those supplies or services under the contract.

The Contractor is not obligated to honor:

(1) Any order for a single item in excess of CLIN/SubCLIN estimated per contract period;

(2) Any order for a combination of items in excess of $10,000,000; or

(3) A series of orders from the same ordering office within 3 calendar days that together
call for quantities exceeding the limitation in subparagraph (1) or (2) above.

Notwithstanding (1), (2), (3) above, the Contractor shall honor any order exceeding the maximum
order limitations, unless that order (or orders) is returned to the ordering office within 5 working
days after issuance, with written notice stating the Contractor's intent not to ship the item (or
items) called for and the reasons. Upon receiving this notice, the Government may acquire the
supplies or services from another source.
4. PRICING

- Contract line items allow for pricing of TOs on a FP or T&M basis. All TOs awarded pursuant to this contract, whether awarded on a FP or T&M must be priced in accordance with the pricing set forth in the Labor Rate Table, Contract Section J, Attachment 1 and Labor Category Descriptions, Contract Section J, Attachment 2. The labor rates in the labor rate table reflect the fully burdened composite rates for each labor category and will apply to all direct labor hours. The composite rates include separate rates for work performed at the contractor site and at the Government site for each labor category. An ITS-SB contractor may propose labor rates that are lower than those specified in its Labor Rate Table but may not exceed the labor rates in its Labor Rate Table.

- The Government’s minimum requirements for each labor category are identified in Labor Category Descriptions. Contractors may augment their labor categories and job descriptions on a TO basis. If a contractor decides to augment a labor category, the labor type and cost shall not change. Augmenting a labor category is not defined as adding a new labor category. TO proposals shall be limited to only those labor categories contained within the base contract. The contractor may propose to the Government, at its discretion, additional labor categories and job descriptions within the scope of ITS-SB. The MA IDIQ Contracting Officer is the only official authorized to add a labor category to the base contract via contract modification.

- Unlike other labor categories, the IT subject-matter expert (SME), IT functional area expert (FAE) and incidental construction category may only be used if no other labor category can satisfy the requirement. If the ITS-SB contractor proposes these categories when not directed by the ordering contracting officer, fixed labor rate (actual direct and indirect costs, excluding profit/fee) will be negotiated in individual TOs. Ordering contracting officers are discouraged from directing the use of FAEs and SMEs. However, if the ordering contracting officer deems it necessary to direct the ITS-SB contractor to propose these categories, a fixed fee of 3% is allowable (refer to B.4 (b), (c) and (d) of the MA IDIQ contracts). ITS-SB contractors are required to seek and obtain approval from the ordering contracting officer for the use of these categories when proposed in a TO. There is no fixed labor rate associated with the SME, FAE, and incidental construction categories.

- Contractor costs for ESI source software shall be reimbursed at the prices charged to the contractor, with no mark-up percentage for loadings, fee or profit, regardless of whether the contract type of a TO is fixed price, T&M or cost reimbursement. For CHESS contract source equipment, a fixed mark-up percentage for associated indirect loading shall be applied to the CHESS contract source equipment for fixed price or T&M TOs; profit or fee shall not be allowed.
5. ORDER FORMS AND NUMBERING

- An appropriate order form (DD Form 1155, Order for Supplies or Services, or Non-DoD Federal agencies equivalent) shall be issued for each TO.
- Ordering contracting officers shall not issue any order beginning with “0001” thru “9999” which are reserved for ACC-NCR or beginning with “BA”, which are reserved for ACC-RI. DoD agencies should use ordering numbers as specified in DFARS 204.7004(d)(2)(i). Non-DoD Federal agencies may use any numbering system provided it does not conflict with either of these numbering systems.

6. CONTRACT LINE ITEM NUMBER (CLIN) STRUCTURE

CLIN structure shall follow DFARS 204.71 Uniform Contract Line Item Numbering guidance and shall be in accordance with the format of the MA IDIQ contract. If applicable, the CLINs also shall be structured to facilitate progress payments. In order to obtain better visibility of the Contractor service workforce from Contractors supporting the Army, TOs funded by the Army must include a separate Contract Line Item Number for Contractor Manpower Reporting.

7. DELIVERY REQUIREMENTS

Delivery of services shall be in accordance with individual orders.

8. SECURITY CONSIDERATIONS

Contract Section H.8 requires that the level of classified access be incorporated into individual TOs as necessary. If determined necessary based on the level of classification, a DD Form 254, Contract Security Classification Specification, should be prepared and included in the TO request and resulting order.

9. FAIR OPPORTUNITY TO BE CONSIDERED

a. General. In accordance with FAR 16.505(b) Ordering, the ordering contracting officer must provide each MA IDIQ contractor (or contractor in the appropriate suite) a fair opportunity to be considered for each TO exceeding $3,000, except as provided for at FAR 16.505(b)(2). Pursuant to FAR 16.505(b)(1)(iii), Orders Under Multiple Award Contracts, each order exceeding $150,000 shall be placed on a competitive basis IAW paragraph FAR 16.505(b)(1)(ii)(B), unless this requirement is waived on the basis of a justification that is prepared and approved IAW FAR 16.505(b)(2) and includes a written determination that one of the circumstances described at FAR 16.505(b)(2)(1)(A) through (E) applies to the order. Follow the procedures at PGI 216.505-70 if FAR 16.505(b)(2)(1)(B) or (C) is deemed to apply.
b. **Single Offer Receipt** *(Applicable to Army TORs only).* The length of time between release of the TORs and submission of TOPs shall be commensurate with the complexity of the requirement. TORs valued above the Simplified Acquisition Threshold that receive only one offer and were open to MA IDIQ contractors for less than 30 days must be handled IAW the provisions in DPAP 27 April 2011 memorandum “Improving Competition in Defense Procurements – Amplifying Guidance.” If the solicitation was advertised for fewer than 30 days and only one offer is received, then the ordering contracting officer shall cancel and resolicit for an additional period of at least 30 days; or if a solicitation allowed at least 30 days for receipt of offers and only one offer was received, then the ordering contracting officer shall not depend on the standard at FAR 15.403-1(c)(ii) in determining the price to be fair and reasonable. Rather the ordering contracting officer shall use price or cost analysis IAW FAR 15.404-1 to make that determination. If the ordering contracting officer believes that it is necessary to enter into negotiations with an offeror, the basis for these negotiations shall be either certified cost or pricing data or data other than certified cost or pricing data, as appropriate, IAW FAR 15.403-1(c), DFARS 215-403-1(c) and FAR 15.403-3(b). The negotiated price should not exceed the offered price. Waivers to the policy requirement to re-solicit or the requirement to conduct negotiations are permitted. The waiver authority is the HCA. However the HCA may delegate this authority to not lower than one level above the ordering contracting officer.

As a further constraint to ensure fair opportunity, the MA IDIQ Contracting Officer, as applicable, may consider revoking the privileges of any ordering office whose requirements consistently impede competition.

- In accordance with FAR 16.505(b)(2), for all orders exceeding $3,000 but less than $150,000, the ordering contracting officer shall give every ITS-SB contractor a fair opportunity to be considered for a TO unless one of the exceptions to fair opportunity applies. (See paragraph 9.c below for further discussion of exceptions.) This means the ordering contracting officer must consider all ITS-SB contractors for the work though he/she is not necessarily required to contact any of them. The ordering contracting officer must document his/her rationale if applying one of the exceptions to fair opportunity; however, no special format is required.

All orders exceeding $150,000 for defense agencies must be placed on a competitive basis in accordance with FAR 16.505 and DFARS 216.505-70(c) unless a written waiver is obtained, using the Justification for an Exception to Fair Opportunity format in FAR 16.505(b)(2)(ii)(c). Refer to your agency’s approval authorities for placing orders.

on other than a competitive basis. This competitive basis requirement applies to all orders by or on behalf of DoD. Each non-DoD agency shall comply with its own agency’s procedures.

For orders by or on behalf of DoD exceeding $150,000, the requirement to place orders on a competitive basis is met only if the ordering contracting officer:

- Provides a notice of intent to purchase to every ITS-SB contractor, including a description of work to be performed and the basis upon which the selection will be made; and
- Affords all ITS-SB contractors responding to the notice a fair opportunity to submit an offer and to be fairly considered.

In making the award, the ordering contracting officer must document his/her selection and the selection must consider price. Finally, though not required, the ordering contracting officer should consider past performance on earlier orders under ITS-SB and use streamlined procedures.

c. **Exceptions to Fair Opportunity:** As provided in FAR 16.505(b)(2) and DFARS 216.505-70(b), the ordering contracting officer may waive the requirement to place an order on a competitive basis with a written Justification for an Exception to Fair Opportunity should one of the following circumstances apply:

- The agency’s need for the supplies or services is so urgent that providing a fair opportunity would result in unacceptable delays. Use of this exception requires a justification that includes reasons why the ITS-SB processing time for a fair opportunity to be considered will result in an unacceptable delay to the agency. The justification should identify when the effort must be competed and describe the harm to the agency caused by such a delay.

- Only one contractor is capable of providing the supplies or services at the level of quality required because the supplies or services ordered are unique or highly specialized. Use of this exception should be rare. When using this exception, explain (a) what is unique or highly specialized about the supply or service; and (b) why only the specified contractor can meet the requirement. See DFARS Procedures, Guidance and Information (PGI) 216.505-70(1) for additional guidance.

- The order must be issued on a sole-source basis in the interest of economy and efficiency because it is a logical follow-on to an order already issued under this contract, provided that all awardees were given a fair opportunity to be considered for the original order. See DFARS PGI 216.505-70(2) for additional guidance.
A statute expressly authorizes or requires that the purchase be made from a specified source.

When it is necessary to place an order to satisfy a minimum guarantee.

FAR 16.505(b)(1)(ii) provides that the ordering contracting officer is not required to contact each of the awardees if information is available that will ensure that each awardee is provided a fair opportunity to be considered for each order. As noted above, however, orders shall be awarded on a competitive basis, a “mini-competition” — including contact with the contractors — is required by DFARS 216.505-70 for orders in excess of $150,000 unless an exception applies.

10. ORDERING PROCEDURES

A. Task Order Request (TOR): The requiring activity prepares the TOR package and submits it to the ordering contracting officer. Attachment 2 contains a TOR checklist and instructions for use when submitting TO requirements to the ordering contracting officer. The checklist describes all documents needed for a complete requirements package. For your assistance, Attachment 8 provides an “Example Letter Request for Task Order Proposals” and Attachment 9 provides an “Example Proposal Submission Instructions and Evaluation Criteria”. Note: When submitting requests ensure that the customer and/or site address is correct and includes as much information as possible to allow for an accurate proposal. (i.e., serial numbers, manufacturer/part numbers, quantities, whether the requirement is a renewal or new requirement, customer ID number, contract numbers, renewal contract number or other type of account identifier.)

At a minimum, the package should contain the following:

B. Statement of Work (SOW), PWS or SOO. The requiring activity may select from these work statements, depending on their specific requirements. Performance-based orders must be used to the maximum extent possible for services as required by FAR 37.102 and FAR 16.505(a) (see Attachment 3).

- Specific formats have been developed to streamline the processing time. See examples of the SOW at Attachment 4, the PWS at Attachment 5, and the SOO at Attachment 6.

1. The PWS identifies the technical, functional and performance characteristics of the Government’s requirements. The PWS describes the work in terms of the
purpose of the work to be performed rather than either “how” the work is to be accomplished or the number of hours to be provided. At a minimum, the PWS should address the work to be performed, location of the work, period of performance, delivery schedule, applicable standards, acceptable criteria, and any special requirements (i.e., security clearances, travel, reports, unique or professional qualifications, special knowledge, etc). See FAR 37.6 for additional requirements for Performance-Based Contracting.

2. The SOO is an alternative to the PWS. It is a very brief document (commonly 2 to 10 pages, depending upon complexity, although there is no maximum or minimum required length) that summarizes key agency goals and outcomes to which contractors respond. It is different from a PWS in that, when a SOO is used, offerors are asked to develop and propose a PWS as part of their solution. Typically, SOO responses would also propose a technical approach, performance standards, incentives/disincentives and a Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan (QASP) based upon commercial practices.

At a minimum, a SOO must contain the following information:

■ Purpose.
■ Scope or mission.
■ Period and place of performance.
■ Background.
■ Performance objectives (i.e., required results).
■ Any operating constraints.

Upon award, the winning offeror’s solution to the SOO should be incorporated into the resulting TO; the SOO itself is not part of the TO.

■ Funding Documents. ITS-SB orders are funded by the requiring activity. Individual ordering contracting officers should provide specific instructions as to the format and content.

■ Evaluation Criteria. All evaluation criteria must be identified and clearly explained in the solicitation. The solicitation must also describe the relative importance of the evaluation criteria. The ordering contracting officer, in conjunction with the requiring activity, may consider the following evaluation criteria (price or cost must be a factor in the selection criteria) to evaluate contractors’ proposals:
1. **Technical/management approach**

- Understanding of the requirement.
- Technical and management approach.
- Staffing plan (e.g., will include skill mix, personnel experience or qualifications and availability of personnel, performance location).
- Areas of expertise.
- Past performance on prior TOs under this contract, e.g., approach, personnel, responsiveness, timeliness, quality, and cost control. (*Note:* If practicable, automated systems such as Past Performance Information Management System (PPIMS) or Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) should be utilized in lieu of requesting past performance information from the contractors).
- Current distribution of workload.
- Knowledge of the customer’s organization.
- Teaming arrangements (including subcontracting).
- Security (including clearance level).
- Performance-based approach.
- Other specific criteria as applicable to the individual TO.

2. **Cost/Price.** This part of the proposal will vary depending upon the contract type planned for the TO. It should include detailed cost/price amounts of all resources required to accomplish the TO (labor hours, rates, travel, etc.). The contractor may not exceed the labor rates specified in the Labor Rate Table, Section J, *Attachment 1* of the MA IDIQ contracts. However, the contractor is permitted to propose labor rates that are lower than those established in the Labor Rate Table. The contractor shall fully explain the basis for proposing lower rates. The proposed reduced labor rates will not be subject to audit; however, the rates will be reviewed to ensure the Government will not be placed at risk of nonperformance. The reduced labor rates will apply only to the respective TO and will not change the fixed rates in Labor Rate Tables. The level of detail required shall be primarily based on the contract type planned for use, as further discussed below.

**Fixed Price (FP) and Time and Materials (T&M).** The proposal shall identify labor categories in accordance with the Labor Rate Tables and the number of hours required for performance of the task. The proposal must identify and justify use of all non-labor cost elements. It must also identify any Government-furnished equipment (GFE) and/or
Government-furnished information (GFI) required for task performance. If travel is specified in the TOR, airfare and/or local mileage, per diem rates by total days, number of trips and number of contractor employees traveling shall be included in the cost/price proposal. Other information shall be provided as requested in the TOR.

Cost-Reimbursement. Both “sanitized” and “unsanitized” cost/price proposals will be required for cost reimbursement-type TOs only. “Unsanitized” cost proposals are complete cost proposals that include all required information. “Sanitized” cost proposals shall exclude all company proprietary or sensitive data but must include a breakdown of the total labor hours proposed and a breakout of the types and associated costs of all proposed ODCs. Unless otherwise noted, unsanitized proposals will only be provided to the ordering contracting officer, while sanitized proposals may be provided to the evaluator(s) and other personnel involved in the procurement.

Cost/price proposals shall include, at a minimum unless otherwise indicated in the TOR, a complete work breakdown structure that coincides with the detailed technical approach and provides proposed labor categories, hours, wage rates, direct/indirect rates, ODCs and fees. Cost reimbursement proposals shall be submitted in accordance with FAR clause 52.215-20 “Requirements for Cost or Pricing Data or Information Other Than Cost or Pricing Data.”

C. Evaluation. If a “mini-competition” is being conducted, a panel of evaluators should be appointed to review the proposals submitted by ITS-SB contract holders. For each non-price evaluation factor, the evaluators should identify strengths and weaknesses in the proposals and should assign an adjectival rating (e.g., outstanding, good) for each non-price factor. The evaluators’ findings should be documented in a written evaluation report. The price factor should be evaluated independently from the non-price factors. Individuals who are evaluating non-price aspects of the proposal should not have access to pricing information while performing their evaluations. See Attachment 7.

Formal evaluation plans or scoring of quotes or offers are not required for orders competed under the ITS-SB contracts because orders are placed IAW FAR 16.505(b) which states the policies in FAR 15.3 (Source Selection) do not apply to the ordering process. However, ordering contracting officers must; (A) develop placement procedures that will provide each ITS-SB contract holder a fair opportunity to be considered for each order and that reflect the requirement and other aspects of the contracting environment; (B) not use any method (such as allocation or designation of any preferred awardee) that would not result in fair consideration being given to all awardees prior to
placing each order; (C) tailor the procedures to each acquisition; (D) include the procedures in the solicitation and the contract; (E) and consider price or cost under each order as one of the factors in the selection decision.

Best Value Approach: Low Price Technically Acceptable (LPTA) or Trade-off

Using the LPTA process is appropriate when best value is expected to result from the selection of the technically acceptable TOP with the lowest evaluated price. The LPTA process will allow the user to evaluate the TOPs faster as the basis for award will be made on the lowest evaluated price meeting the acceptability standards for technical factor. State the amount of time that a TO requirement using LPTA should be completed.

When best value trade-off approaches are contemplated, the ordering contracting officer should consider what is being traded off. For example, for requirements that are routine in nature but require considerable management emphasis to ensure effective and efficient operation under time and fiscal constraints, the Government might consider a trade-off between price and management approach.

Evaluations must be conducted fairly and in accordance with the selection criteria in the TOR. The ordering contracting officer shall control all communications with Contractors. A competitive range decision is not required prior to conducting communications, nor must the ordering contracting officer conduct communications with each Contractor. Upon determination of the apparent TO awardee, the ordering contracting officer may conduct negotiations to finalize order terms, conditions, and price/cost.

D. Award. Prior to making a decision, copies of all evaluations must be forwarded to the selection official for his/her review and consideration. The ordering contracting officer must follow his/her agency’s procedures for documenting the process and rationale for selection of the awardee for each task order. At a minimum, the ordering contracting officer must document his/her selection and the selection must consider price. Attachment 10 is an example of a Selection Recommendation Document. This form can also be used to document an exception to the fair opportunity requirements.

At a minimum, the following information shall be specified in each TO awarded:

- Date of order.
- Contract and order number.
- Point of contact (name), commercial telephone and fax numbers, and e-mail address.
- Ordering contracting officer’s commercial telephone number and e-mail address.
- Description of the services to be provided, quantity unit price and extended price, or estimated cost and/or fee (TO INCLUDE THE CLIN FROM PART B). The work statement should be attached; the contractor’s proposal may be incorporated by
reference.

■ Performance period and delivery date for supplies, including any option period, for services and delivery of supplies, if applicable.
■ Address and place of performance or delivery, if applicable.
■ Packaging, packing and shipping instructions, if any.
■ Accounting and appropriation data and Contract Accounting Classification Reference Number (ACRN) (DFAS requires an ACRN(s) on all orders.)
■ Specific instructions regarding how payments are to be assigned when an order contains multiple ACRNs.
■ Invoice and payment instructions.
■ Any other pertinent information.

In accordance with 10 U.S. Code § 2304c(d) and FAR 16.505(a)(9), the ordering agency’s award decision on each order that is valued at less than $10 million is generally not subject to protest under FAR Subpart 33.1 except for a protest that an order increases the scope, period or maximum value of the contract. In lieu of pursuing a bid protest, ITS-SB contractors may seek independent review by the designated ombudsman. The ombudsman will review complaints from the contractors and ensure that all contractors are afforded a fair opportunity to be considered for each order, consistent with the procedures in the contract. The designated ombudsman is identified in Chapter 2, paragraph 7, of these guidelines. The executed order will be transmitted via fax, e-mail or by verbal direction from the ordering contracting officer. If verbal direction is given, written confirmation will be provided within five working days. After award, timely notification shall be provided to the unsuccessful offerors and will identify, at a minimum, the awardee and award amount.
The ITS-SB TO Award Process is illustrated below:
E. Post Award Debriefing. The ordering contract officer is required under FAR Part 16.505 to provide notifications to unsuccessful offerors. Under 10 USC 2304a, unsuccessful offerors in competitions for TOs exceeding $5 million have the right to a post-award debriefing if they meet certain request deadline requirements. The deadline requirements can be found in FAR 15.506(a)(1). Under FAR 15.506(a)(4), untimely debriefing requests may be accommodated, and 15.506 is not limited to unsuccessful offerors. Timely requests for a post-award debriefing for TOs meeting the threshold above must be honored, and their debriefings must meet the requirements of FAR 15.506. The debriefings may be provided orally, in writing or by any other method deemed appropriate. A best practice is to provide debriefings in writing.

Also, ordering contracting officers are encouraged to provide debriefings to untimely offerors under competitions exceeding $5 million and to offer a debriefing to all other offerors under TO competitions, even those valued below the mandatory threshold described above. Non-mandatory debriefings should follow all of the requirements in FAR 15.506(d), (e), and (f). Debriefings may be done orally, in writing, or by any method acceptable to the ordering contracting officer.

F. Evaluation of Contractor’s to Performance. Section G.4 of the contract requires that, at TO completion, the contractor submit a request for a performance evaluation to the Order Contracting Officer’s Representative (OCOR) or his/ her designated representative. The OCOR or his/her designated representative shall complete these evaluations for each TO, regardless of dollar value, within 30 days of completion. Performance evaluations shall also be completed annually for orders that have a performance period in excess of one year. Annual performance evaluations shall be completed within 30 days of TO renewals. Performance evaluations may also be done as otherwise considered necessary throughout the duration of the order (but generally no more than quarterly). The performance evaluations will be located on the CHESS website at: https://chess.army.mil/Static/SRV_ITS_SB_EVL_CON
ATTACHMENT 1
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SERVICES – SMALL BUSINESS (ITS-SB)
PRIME CONTRACTORS AND SUBCONTRACTORS

Below is a representative listing of the prime contractors and their subcontractors. For a more updated list of the subcontractors, go to the links provided for each prime contractor.

Contract Number: W91QUZ-11-D-0001 PRIME: ActioNet
http://www.actionet.com
Subcontractors:
- Dexisive, Inc.
- Geneva Software
- ICS
- INDUS Corporation
- Maden Technologies
- Perot Systems Government Services, Inc.
- SONA Networks, LLC
- TechTeam Government Solutions, Inc.

Contract Number: W91QUZ-11-D-0002 PRIME: Creative Computing Solutions, Inc.
http://www.ccsin.com
Subcontractors:
- Computer System Designers, Inc.
- Data Systems Analyst, Inc.
- Eaglei International Corporation
- Engineering and Professional Services, Inc.
- Engineering Systems Solutions, Inc.
- GDH Consulting
- Hewlett-Packard/EDS
- Information Security and Forensics Management Team
- OPNET Analysis, Inc.
- Stanley Associates, Inc.
- STG, Inc
- Triumfant, Inc.
- Tuskegee University
- USfalcon
- Versar, Inc
- VMD Systems Integrators, Inc.

http://www.esncc.com
Subcontractors:
- Apptis, Inc.
- AUSGAR Technologies, Inc.
- Booz Allen Hamilton, Inc.
- Computer Aid, Inc. (CAI)
- Corporate Professional Services, Inc. (CPSI)
- CrossMatch
- InfoStructures
- Infotech & Telecon Engineering Institute, Inc. (ITTECOM)
- Integral Business Solutions (IBS)
- LanTech
- NBS Enterprise
- PearlNet, LLC
- Stanley Associates
- Sumaria Systems, Inc.
- Washington Square Associates, Inc. (WSA)
Contract Number: W91QUZ-11-D-0004 PRIME: Enterprise Information Services, Inc.
http://www.goeis.com
Subcontractors:
Accenture                      iBaseT                      STL
CALNET                         Immix Group                 Subsidium
CoSolutions                    Implemetrics                Trusted Tech
Dell                           Iron Horse                  TSC
Didlake                        NGIT                        Unitech
DRC                            PE Systems                  V2Soft
EIS                            QuTech                      VIVA USA
Elite IT                       RTGX                        Wyle
GTI Federal

Contract Number: W91QUZ-11-D-0005 PRIME: Exeter Information Technology Services, LLC
http://www.exeter.com
Subcontractors:
AAC, Inc.                      STG, Inc.
ia2, Inc.                      The CENTECH Group, Inc
Mele Associates, Inc.          TranTech, Inc.
MPRI, a Division of L-3 Services, Inc. Wiikno, Inc
P3S Corporation

Contract Number: W91QUZ-11-D-0006 PRIME: Future Research Corporation
http://www.future-research.com
Subcontractors:
Alabama A&M University Research Institute (AAMURI) Software ADE, Inc.
CACI                            SONA Networks
International Logistics Group (ILG) Specialized Business Software (SBS)
LOGZONE, Inc. (LZI)            Spectrum Partners, LLC
Patrick Wolfe Group (PWG)       Tactical Missiles & Tactical Systems (TMTS)
Pinnacle Solutions
Reynolds Research & Technology Corporation (R2TC) TBM Solutions
Scientific Research Corporation (SRC) Tec-Masters, Inc. (TMI)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract Number</th>
<th>PRIME:</th>
<th>Subcontractors:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAIC, NES Associates, Solutions by Design II, LLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ACS, Inc., ATSG, LLC, Bridgeborn, CACI, Inc., C5i Federal, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W91QUZ-11-D-0009</td>
<td>M-Cubed Information Systems</td>
<td><a href="http://www.mcubedinfo.com">http://www.mcubedinfo.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A-Tech, Clear Avenue, CTEC, E-Partners, Insys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Applied Quality Communications, Inc., Barrister, CSC, GC&amp;E Systems Group, Inc., Future Technologies Venture, LLC, IBM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W91QUZ-11-D-0011</td>
<td>NetCentrics Corporation</td>
<td><a href="http://www.netcentrics.com">http://www.netcentrics.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lockheed Martin, Castillo Technologies, Diebold, Dynamix, HBCU/MI Project Office (HPO), NCI Information Systems, RTL Networks, SEB Technologies, SMS Data Products Group, Technology Industries</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Contract Number: W91QUZ-11-D-0013 PRIME: SNVC, L.C.
http://www.snvc.com
Subcontractors:
ABN Technologies FedTek PCN Strategies
AT&T FSIS Platinum Solutions
Barling Bay, LLC GRSi Richard S. Carson & Associates
BearingPoint HCI SAIC
CherryRoad iLuMinA Sigmatech Inc.
CMW INNOLOG ST Net
Cornet Intelligent Decisions TDI
Data Intelligence LLC ISIS Virginia State University
DESE KPMG
Digital Technologies Inc. M&M
EDC Consulting McLane Advanced Technologies
EM&I Occoquan Crew
FedConcepts PAR (Roam Research)

http://www.suprtek.com
Subcontractors:
Access Systems KeyBridge Technologies, Inc.
Array Information Technology, Inc. KForce (AKA dNovus)
CACI International, Inc. Klett Consulting Group, Inc. (KCS)
California State University, Los Angeles Merlin International
CDW-G, Inc. MountainTop Technologies, Inc.
CodePro NCI Information Systems, Inc.
Craig Technologies Newberry Group
Craytek, Inc. Pacific Star, Inc.
Diligent Consulting, Inc. Preferred Systems Solutions, Inc. (PSS)
Diverse Technologies Corporation Prism Pointe Technologies
Four Points RCF Information Systems, Inc.
ICS Nett, Inc S4 Technologies, Inc.
Insight Global, Inc. Sawdey Solutions Services, Inc.
Insight Global, Inc. Securva, Inc.
Integration Technologies Group, Inc. Telos Corporation
International Computing Systems, Inc. (ICS) Trowbridge and Trowbridge, LLC
Janus Research Group, Inc. Universal Consulting Service
Wymond Associates, LLC
Contract Number: W91QUZ-11-D-0015 PRIME: T4, LLC

[Website URL]

T4 Joint Venture Owners:
Alyn Group Technology
ACT-Corp
Dynamic Technology Systems, Inc.
TDG, Inc.

Subcontractors:
- Advantage Federal
- Aiken Global Group LLC
- Altech Information Services
- Avalon Technology, Inc.
- Bering Straits Native Corporation
- Consolidated Network Communication
- Dynamic Technology Systems, Inc.
- Global Technology Solutions (GTS)
- InDyne
- InfoPro
- IntePros
- Intercom Federal Systems
- Merkatum
- Paradigm Solutions
- PC Recycler
- Prairie View A&M Research Foundation
- Precision Computing Intelligence
- TechNik
- The Moore Group
- TWD

Contract Number: W91QUZ-11-D-0021 PRIME: Tantus-OnPoint ATO Systems Support, LLC

[Website URL]

Subcontractors:
- CACI
- DigiTelLink
- Exalt Integrated Technologies
- Lunarline
- Schaffer Environmental
- Systems Integration and Management
- ZeNETex
- Exalt Integrated Technologies
- University of Texas at El Paso (HHU)
- Advanced Resource Technology
- Dillon Environmental Associates
- Full Circle Environmental
- Goodman Networks
- Secure IT Solutions
- Waterman Engineering
- Pamela Brody-Heine Consultant
- Strohmier Consulting
- Virginia State University
ATTACHMENT 2

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SERVICES – SMALL BUSINESS (ITS-SB)
TASK ORDER (TO) REQUEST CHECKLIST AND INSTRUCTIONS

This form constitutes a request for contract support under the ITS-SB contracts. The requiring activity shall complete this form, together with the associated attachments, and forward the entire package to the appropriate ordering contracting officer for processing.

1. TO Title

2. Requiring Activity Point of Contact. Include name, title, organization, commercial and DSN phone numbers for voice and fax, and e-mail address:

3. Designated Order Contracting Officer's Representative (COR) Include name, title, organization, commercial and DSN phone numbers for voice and fax, and e-mail address: (If same as Block 2, type "same). 

4. Attachments Checklist. Complete package must include the following items. Send files electronically via e-mail or fax to the ordering contracting officer (All files shall be completed using MS Word Office 2000 or MS Excel Office 2000, as appropriate.)

- Work Statement (check one)
  - Statement of Work
  - Performance Work Statement includes Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan
- Statement of Objectives
- Funding Document(s) (scanned or other electronic version is preferable)
- Independent Government Cost Estimate
- Proposal Evaluation Plan Bundling Determination (if needed)
- Consolidation Determination (if needed)
- Justification for Work Statement that is not Performance-Based
- TO unique DD Form 254 (only if security requirements)

5. Task Order Information

- Contract Type (check one) Time and materials (T&M) and cost reimbursement (CR) contract types require justification in accordance with Federal Acquisition Regulations (the Ordering contracting officer makes the final determination of which order type is in the best interest of the Government).
  - Firm fixed price (FFP) (no justification required)
  - CR (provide justification in the box, below)
  - T&M (provide justification in the box, below)

Rationale: T&M and CR contract types require justification in accordance with Federal Acquisition Regulations.

Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act (FASA) Exception. If you are citing a FASA exception to fair opportunity competition, designate which one below with a justification.

FASA Exception Justification:
- The agency need for services is of such urgency that providing such opportunity would result in unacceptable delays.
- Only one such contractor is capable of providing services required at the level of quality required because they are unique or highly specialized.
- The order should be issued on a sole-source basis in the interest of economy and efficiency as a logical follow-on to an order already issued under this contract, provided that all ITS-SB contractors were given a fair opportunity to be considered for the original order.
- It is necessary to place an order to satisfy a minimum guarantee.
  - A statute expressly authorizes or requires that the purchase be made from specified source.

FASA Exception Justification:

6. Order COR Training Certification: Army Order CORs are required to have COR training prior to appointment in accordance with paragraph 1.7 of the Army Contracting Command (ACC) Acquisition Instruction. Appendix A of the ACC Acquisition Instruction contains all list of ACC-approved training courses. Refer to: https://arc.army.mil/COR/CORHandbooks_SelfServe.aspx

Order COR Training Certification Date:
1. GENERAL

PBSA is the preferred method of contracting for services and supplies. PBSA means an acquisition structured around the results to be achieved as opposed to the manner by which the work is to be performed. Essential elements of PBSA include: (1) performance requirements, expressed in either a Performance Work Statement (PWS) or Statement of Objective (SOO); performance requirements should be described in terms of what the required output is and should not specify how the work is to be accomplished; (2) Performance standards or measurements, which are criteria for determining whether the performance requirements are met; (3) Appropriate performance incentives, either positive or negative; and (4) A surveillance plan that documents the Government’s approach to monitoring the contractor’s performance. These elements are discussed further below.

2. POLICY

Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 37.102 has established the policy to use a PBSA approach, to the maximum extent practicable, for all services. Services exempted from this policy are: architect-engineer, construction, utility, and services that are incidental to supply purchases. Use of any other approach has to be justified to the ordering contacting officer. For defense agencies, DFARS 237.170-2 requires higher-level approval for any acquisition of services that is not performance-based.

3. CONTRACT TYPE

The order of precedence set forth in FAR 37.102(a)(2) must be followed for all TOs. It is:

- A FFP, performance-based contract or TO.
- A performance-based contract or TO that is not FFP.
- A contract or TO that is not performance-based. Requiring activities should use the contract type most likely to motivate contractors to perform at optimal levels.

FFP is the preferred contracting type for PBSA. Work statements should be developed in sufficient detail to permit performance on a fixed-price basis.
4. PERFORMANCE WORK STATEMENTS (PWS)

The PWS identifies the technical, functional and performance characteristics of the Government’s requirements. The PWS describes the work in terms of the purpose of the work to be performed rather than either how the work is to be accomplished or the number of hours to be provided. The format for the PWS is similar to the traditional SOW. In addition, the PWS will include performance standards, incentives and a QASP.

Performance Standards/Metrics: Reflects level of service required by the Government to meet performance objectives. Standards may be objective (e.g., response time) or subjective (e.g., customer satisfaction). They must also:

■ Use commercial standards where practicable, e.g., ISO 9000.
■ Ensure the standard is needed and not unduly burdensome.
■ Must be measurable, easy to apply and attainable.

If performance standards are not available, the PWS may include a requirement for the contractor to provide a performance matrix, as a deliverable, to assist in the development of performance standards for future TOs.

Performance Incentives: Incentives may be positive or negative, monetary or non-monetary. Note: if a financial incentive is promised, ensure that adequate funds are available at time of task order award to pay incentives that may be earned.

■ Examples of monetary incentives include:
  1. Incentive fees.
  3. A negative incentive can be included if the desired results are not achieved (deduction should be equal to the value of the service lost).

■ Examples of non-monetary incentives include:
  1. Revised schedule.
  2. Positive performance evaluation.
  3. Automatic extension of contract term or option exercise.
  4. Lengthened contract term (award term contracting) or purchase of extra items (award purchase).

QASP: The QASP is a plan for assessing contractor performance to ensure compliance with the Government’s performance objectives. It describes the surveillance schedule, methods, performance measures and incentives.
The level of surveillance should be commensurate with the dollar amount, risk, and complexity of the requirement.

Don't inspect the process, just the outputs.

QASP is included as part of the PWS.

A PWS sample format, including a QASP, is provided as Attachment 5.

5. SOO

The SOO is an alternative to the PWS. It is a very brief document (commonly 2 to 10 pages, depending upon complexity, although there is no maximum or minimum length) that summarizes key agency goals and outcomes to which contractors respond. It is different from a PWS in that, when a SOO is used, offerors are asked to develop and propose a PWS as part of their solution. Typically, offerors would also propose a technical approach, performance standards, incentives/disincentives, and a QASP based upon commercial practices. At a minimum, a SOO must contain the following information:

- Purpose.
- Scope or mission.
- Period and place of performance.
- Background.
- Performance objectives (i.e., required results).
- Any operating constraints.

Upon award, the winning offeror's solution to the SOO should be incorporated into the resulting task order. The SOO itself is not part of the TO.

A SOO sample format is provided as Attachment 6.
ATTACHMENT 4
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SERVICES – SMALL BUSINESS (ITS-SB)
EXAMPLE FORMAT FOR STATEMENT OF WORK (SOW)

1. PROJECT TITLE
Provide a short, descriptive title of the work to be performed.

2. BACKGROUND
Describe the need for the services, the current environment and the office’s mission as it relates to this requirement. Provide a brief description/summary of the services sought.

3. SCOPE
Indicate which ITS-SB contract task area(s) apply to the work to be performed. Include a high-level view of the procurement, its objectives, size and projected outcomes. Do not include anything that won’t contribute to the expected result. Do include impacts/implications.

4. APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS
An SOW should list legal, regulatory, policy, security, etc. documents that are relevant. Include publication number, title, version, date, where the document can be obtained, etc. If only portions of documents apply, that should be stated.

5. SPECIFIC TASKS
Provide a narrative of the specific tasks that make up the SOW. Number the tasks sequentially, e.g., Task 1 - Title of Task and description, Task 2 - Title of Task and description, etc. Describe in clear terms, using active language, what work will be performed. The requirement must be defined sufficiently for the contractor to submit a realistic proposal and for the Government to negotiate a meaningful price or estimated cost. SOWs must be “outcome-based,” i.e., they must include the development and delivery of actual products (e.g., assessment report, migration strategy, implementation plan).

6. DELIVERABLES AND DELIVERY SCHEDULE
List all outputs/outcomes with specific due dates or time frames. Include media type, quantity, and delivery point(s). State due dates in terms of calendar days after TO award.
7. GOVERNMENT-FURNISHED EQUIPMENT AND GOVERNMENT-FURNISHED INFORMATION
Identify the Government-furnished equipment and information, if any, to be provided to the contractor, and identify any limitations on use. Be as specific as possible.

8. PLACE OF PERFORMANCE
Specify whether the work will be performed at the contractor’s site or at a Government site (with exact address if possible). Describe any local or long distance travel the contractor will be required to perform.

9. PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE
State in terms of total calendar days after TO award (e.g., 365 calendar days after TO award), or in terms of start and end date (e.g., Oct. 1, 20XX through Sept. 30, 20XX).

10. SECURITY
State whether the work will be UNCLASSIFIED, CONFIDENTIAL, SECRET, TOP SECRET, or TOP SECRET with SENSITIVE COMPARTMENT INFORMATION. Contract Section H.8 requires that the level of classified access be incorporated into individual task orders as necessary. The Contract Security Classification Specification, DD Form 254, should be included if required. All documents must be marked for classification.
ATTACHMENT 5
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SERVICES – SMALL BUSINESS (ITS-SB)
EXAMPLE FORMAT FOR PERFORMANCE WORK STATEMENT (PWS)

1. PROJECT TITLE
Provide a short, descriptive title of the work to be performed.

2. BACKGROUND
Describe the need for the services, the current environment and the office’s mission as it relates to this requirement. Provide a brief description/summary of the services sought.

3. SCOPE
Indicate which ITS-SB contract task area(s) apply to the work to be performed. Include a high-level view of the procurement, its objectives, size and projected outcomes. Do not include anything that won’t contribute to the expected result. Do include impacts/implications.

4. APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS
List all relevant legal, regulatory, policy and security or other documents. Include publication number, title, version, date, where the document can be obtained, etc. Clearly state if only portions of documents apply.

5. PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS
Provide a narrative of the specific performance requirements or tasks that make up the PWS. Describe the work in terms of the required output, i.e., what is expected from the contractor, rather than how the work is to be accomplished or the number of hours to be provided. Number the tasks sequentially, e.g., Task 1-Title of Task and description, Task 2-Title of Task and description, etc. The requirement must be defined sufficiently for the contractor to submit a realistic proposal and for the Government to negotiate a meaningful price or estimated cost.

6. PERFORMANCE STANDARDS
Performance standards establish the performance levels required by the Government.
Examples of performance standards:

- **Quality standards**: condition, error rates, accuracy, form/function, reliability, maintainability.
- **Quantity standards**: capacity, output, volume, amount.
- **Timeliness standards**: response times, delivery, completion times, milestones.
7. INCENTIVES

Incentives should be used when they will encourage better quality performance. They may be either positive, negative, or a combination of both. Incentives may be monetary or non-monetary. Incentives do not need to be present in every performance-based contract as an additional fee structure. In a fixed price contract, the incentives would be embodied in the pricing and the contractor could either maximize profit through effective performance or have payments reduced because of failure to meet the performance standard.

- **Positive incentives.** Actions to take if the work exceeds the standards. Standards should be challenging, yet reasonably attainable.

- **Negative Incentives.** Actions to take if work does not meet standards.

8. DELIVERABLES AND DELIVERY SCHEDULE

List all outputs/outcomes with specific due dates or time frames. Include media type, quantity, and delivery point(s). State due dates in terms of calendar days after TO award.

9. GFE AND GFI

Identify the GFE and GFI, if any, to be provided to the contractor and identify any limitations on use. Be as specific as possible.

10. PLACE OF PERFORMANCE

Specify whether the work will be performed at the contractor's site or at a Government site with exact address if possible. Describe any local or long distance travel the contractor will be required to perform.

11. PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE

State in terms of total calendar days after TO award (e.g., 365 calendar days after TO award) or in terms of start and end date (e.g., Oct. 1, 20XX through Sept. 30, 20XX).

12. SECURITY

State whether the work will be UNCLASSIFIED, CONFIDENTIAL, SECRET, TOP SECRET or TOP SECRET WITH SENSITIVE COMPARTMENTED INFORMATION and include Contract Security Classification Specification, DD Form 254, as required in individual TOs.
ITS-SB Contract Section H.8 requires that the level of classified access be incorporated into individual TOs as necessary.

13. **QASP**

This portion of the PWS explains to the contractor what the Government’s expectations are, how (and how often) deliverables or services will be monitored and evaluated, and incentives that encourage the contractor to exceed the performance standards and that reduce payment or impose other negative incentives when the outputs/outcomes are below the performance standards. Attach the QASP to the PWS. An example is provided in Attachment 5A.
1. TASK ORDER TITLE: Mainframe Maintenance Service (Example)

2. WORK REQUIREMENTS: (list below the tasks specified in The PWS)

Examples:
- Task 1 – Predictive/Preventive Maintenance
- Task 2 – Equipment Repair
- Task 3 – Dispatch Center
- Task 4 – Work Documentation/Service Log Section
- Task 5 – Equipment Monitoring Section
- Task 6 – Configuration Management Section

3. PRIMARY METHOD OF SURVEILLANCE: (choose a method that best fits your requirement, e.g., criticality of work to be performed, the relative importance of some tasks to others, lot size/frequency of service, surveillance period, stated performance standard, performance requirement, availability of agency people/resources and cost-effectiveness of surveillance versus task importance.)

Acceptable surveillance methods include:
- **100 Percent Inspection**: This is recommended only where health and safety are at issue; otherwise it is not cost-effective and is too stringent.
- **Random Sampling**: Appropriate for recurring tasks or productions requirements.
- **Periodic Inspection**: Use a pre-determined plan based on analyses of agency resources and requirements.
- **Customer Input**: Suitable for service-oriented tasks; use a standard form to document.
- **Contractor Self-Reporting**: Appropriate for tasks like system maintenance where the contractor can provide system records that document performance; for development projects, monthly reports can detail problems encountered.

Examples: Random sampling is scheduled for Items 2, 3, 5, and 6. There will be 100% inspection for Items 1 and 4.

4. SCOPE OF PERFORMANCE: (provide the scope of the requirement as described in Paragraph 3 of the PWS)

Example: The contractor will provide remedial maintenance service on-site with problem resolution completed within the specified timeframe. Remedial maintenance is defined to include service, including parts replacement, as necessary to restore.
5. PERFORMANCE STANDARDS: (insert the performance standards listed in Paragraph 6 of the PWS)
Example: Mainframe processing availability must be 95% during the hours 0800 to 1600. Response times for maintenance calls should occur within four hours of placing a call.

6. ACCEPTABLE QUALITY LEVEL (AQL): (must be realistic, stating the minimum standard, percentage of errors allowed, cost trade-offs, etc.)
Example: The AQL for this project is 100% due to the critical support provided by mainframe operations.

7. EVALUATION METHOD:
Example: The contracting officer’s technical representative (COTR) will document the time of verbal notification to the contractor. The COTR will document the official time and date of notification on the maintenance call record. The COTR will review self-diagnostic systems logs, conduct a comparison with actual maintenance performance, and otherwise verify and validate contractor performance. The contractor shall enter in the record the official time the system is restored to full operational status. The COTR will confirm the date and time of problem resolution in the record.

8. INCENTIVES (POSITIVE AND/OR NEGATIVE): (insert the performance incentives listed in Paragraph 7 of the PWS)
Example: The following negative incentives apply:
- If resolution is completed within four hours of notification, there will be no adjustment to the invoice amount.
If resolution time exceeds four hours, the monthly invoice amount will be reduced by 10%. (insert any other appropriate incentives or disincentives)
ATTACHMENT 6
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SERVICES – SMALL BUSINESS (ITS-SB)
EXAMPLE STATEMENT OF OBJECTIVES (SOO)

The SOO provides basic, top-level objectives of a task order and is provided in lieu of a Government-written statement of work (SOW) or Performance Work Statement. It provides contractors the flexibility to develop cost-effective solutions and the opportunity to propose innovative alternatives meeting the objectives.

FORMAT

I. Purpose

II. Scope or Mission

III. Period and Place of Performance

■ Overall Objectives
  1. Personnel - Provide a proper skill mix, experience and required number of qualified personnel
  2. Materials - Provide all necessary supplies, spare (parts), tools, test equipment, consumables, hardware, software, automatic data processing equipment, documentation and other applicable properties.
  3. Facilities - Provide administrative and workspaces.
  4. Organizational Processes - Provide internal controls, management oversight and supply support.

■ TO Objectives

Most objectives will already be identified within the contract document. You may include specific TO objectives here. If you do include this type of objective, you may need to include instructions for how you wish the ITS-SB contractors to address these objectives within their proposals. Objectives identified within the SOO are addressed by the ITS-SB contractors within a SOW, which they write. Therefore, consider how objectives identified in this section could be addressed within a SOW.
■ Technical Objectives

1. Make maximum use of commercial products.
2. Install the system with a minimum impact to other systems that may be located in the designated facility.

3. Develop and document procedures for managing system engineering, software and hardware development. Utilize commercial standards and procedures to the maximum extent in achievement of this objective. The system engineering process includes parts management, quality assurance, electrostatic discharge control, reliability, maintainability, system safety, etc.

■ Program Objectives

1. Establish program management that provides accurate and timely schedule and performance information throughout the life cycle of the program.
2. Establish a sound risk management system, which mitigates program risks and provides for special emphasis on software development efforts through integration of metrics to monitor program status.
3. Obtain sufficient rights in technical data, both software and hardware, such that the Government can maintain and modify the training system using Government personnel and third-party contractors.
4. Use electronic technologies to reduce paper copies of program information generated throughout the life of this contract.
5. Use electronic technologies to communicate and pass data between Government and contractor organizations.

VI. Any operating or programmatic constraints. The following specifications, standards, policies, and procedures represent the constraints placed on this TO.
ATTACHMENT 7
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SERVICES – SMALL BUSINESS (ITS-SB)
PROPOSAL EVALUATION PLAN

BASIS OF EVALUATION
(CHECK ONE):  ☐ Best Value Trade-Off  ☐ Lowest Price, Technically Acceptable

Non-Price Factors
Note: Describe the relative weight of each evaluation factor compared with the other evaluation factors. For example, the evaluation factors may all be approximately equal in importance, or one factor may be more important than others.

List the specific areas of your technical/management requirements to be evaluated. These areas should correspond with, and relate to, specific requirements.
1. Technical/Management Approach

List the specific areas of your past performance requirements to be evaluated.
2. Past Performance

These areas should relate to specific work statement requirements.
3. Other Factors (if applicable)

List any other evaluation criteria important to you and the associated weights below.
Price Factors
Adjectival ratings (e.g., outstanding, good, etc.) are assigned to corporate experience, technical/management approach and any other non-price criteria for which you may want to evaluate contractor proposals. Note that balancing price against the non-price factors is how you make your best value trade-off decision, and, as a result, a rating is not assigned to the price factor. Indicate whether all non-price evaluation factors, when combined are:

- Significantly more important than the price factor
- More important than the price factor
- Comparatively equal to the price factor
- Less important than the price factor
- Significantly less important than the price factor
ATTACHMENT 8
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SERVICES – SMALL BUSINESS (ITS-SB)
EXAMPLE LETTER REQUEST FOR TASK ORDER PROPOSALS

LETTERHEAD

IN REPLY, REFER TO:
(DATE) MEMORANDUM TO: Information Technology Services – Small Business (ITS-SB)
Contractors SUBJECT: Request for Task Order Proposals

1. The Director of Information Management for [insert command] has a requirement for [insert, as appropriate]. The period of performance is [insert duration of order]. The anticipated contract type is [insert as appropriate]. This requirement has been assigned tracking number [insert number].

2. As provided by Part J, Attachment 4, paragraph (c), Task Order Procedures, of the contract, it is requested that you submit written technical and price proposals in response to the attached [insert, as appropriate, e.g., Statement of Work, Performance Work Statement, or Statement of Objectives] (Attachment 1). Specific proposal instructions and evaluation criteria are also attached (Attachment 2). Your proposal or “no-bid reply” shall be submitted no later than [insert date/time]. Any “no-bid reply” must include a brief statement as to why you are unable to perform. Please upload your proposal or no-bid reply to the CHESS IT e-mart at: https://chess.army.mil.

3. Virtual Reading Room. A Virtual Reading Room has been established to provide access to information related to this acquisition [insert specific information as appropriate].

4. Due Diligence. As part of the proposal preparation process, the Government will offer the ITS-SB contractors the opportunity for due diligence. This will enhance your understanding of the requirements and is in keeping with the principles identified by Federal Acquisition Regulation Part 15.201, Exchanges With Industry Before Receipt of Proposals. The following arrangements have been made for interested contractors to contact appropriate Government representatives to ask questions that by their very nature they would not ask if the response would be posted and provided to their competition: [insert information, as appropriate].

5. Resolution of Issues. The ordering contracting officer reserves the right to withdraw and cancel the proposed task. In such event, the contractor shall be notified in writing of the ordering contracting officer’s decision. This decision is final and conclusive and shall not be subject to the “Disputes” clause or the “Contract Disputes Act.”
6. Questions should be addressed to the ordering contracting officer at the following e-mail address: [insert address]. Please provide any questions no later than [insert date/time]. Questions received after this date may or may not be answered. Contact [insert name/telephone number] if you have any questions or require additional information.

Sincerely,

ITS-SB Ordering Contracting Officer

Attachments:

(1) Work Statement
(2) Proposal Submission Instructions and Evaluation Criteria
ATTACHMENT 9
EXAMPLE PROPOSAL SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS
AND EVALUATION CRITERIA

1. PROPOSAL SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS

Technical and Price Proposals shall be separate documents and consist of the following tabs:

Note: While the Technical Proposal must not contain any reference to price, resource information (such as data concerning labor hours and categories, materials, subcontracts, etc.) must be provided so that a contractor’s understanding of the requirements may be evaluated.

- TAB 1 – Technical Proposal. Technical proposal information will be streamlined. Page limits are specified below. As a minimum, technical proposals shall address the following elements:

  1. Technical/Management Approach
  2. Key Personnel Assigned
  3. Teaming Arrangements (including subcontractors)
  4. Risks and Risk Mitigation Plan
  5. Period of Performance
  6. GFE/GFI
  7. Security (including clearance level)
  8. Other Pertinent Data

(10 page limit)

Note: If instructions are for a performance-based task order, and if a Performance Work Statement (PWS) is not already included in the solicitation, the Technical Proposal shall also include the offeror’s proposed Statement of Work (SOW) or PWS detailing the performance requirements resulting from the Statement of Objectives. **(No page limit)**
TAB 2 – Cost/Price Proposal. This part of the proposal shall include details for all resources required to accomplish the requirements (e.g., labor hours, rates, travel, incidental equipment, etc.). The price proposal shall identify labor categories in accordance with the Labor Rate Tables contained in Section B. It must also identify any GFE and/or GFI required for task performance. If travel is specified in the SOW or PWS, airfare and/or local mileage, per diem rates by total days, number of trips, and number of contractor employees traveling shall be included.

2. EVALUATION CRITERIA

This is a best value award, and the evaluation criteria for this award will be based on the following factors and weights assigned to each factor.

INSERT CRITERIA AS APPROPRIATE; DESCRIBE THE RELATIVE WEIGHT OF EACH EVALUATION FACTOR COMPARED WITH THE OTHER EVALUATION FACTORS. FOR EXAMPLE, THE EVALUATION FACTORS MAY BE APPROXIMATELY EQUAL IN IMPORTANCE, OR ONE FACTOR MAY BE MORE IMPORTANT THAN OTHERS.

a. Technical/Management Approach:
   (1)
   (2)
   (3)
b. Past Performance:
   (1)
   (2)
   (3)
c. Other Factors:
   (1)
   (2)
   (3)
d. Cost/Price: In performing the best value trade-off analysis, all non-price evaluation factors, when combined, are APPROXIMATELY EQUAL IN IMPORTANCE TO cost/price.
ATTACHMENT 10

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SERVICES – SMALL BUSINESS (ITS-SB)
EXAMPLE SELECTION RECOMMENDATION DOCUMENT (SRD)

A. Task Order Title: (enter the title as shown in the work statement)

B. Recommended Prime Contractor: Check the name/number of the ITS-SB prime contractor for whom you are recommending an award.

C. Justification: Note: the “Fair Opportunity to be Considered” evaluation and justification is mandatory unless the requirement meets one of the five Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act (FASA)-specified/Section 803 weaknesses of the proposals received. Describe the evaluation methodology and the best value analysis that led to the recommendation of the prime contractor that should be awarded the task order in accordance with the ITS-SB Proposal Evaluation Plan. The justification should be streamlined while containing the following:

1. Results of Non-Price Evaluations: Discuss the results of the non-price evaluations for each vendor that submitted a proposal.
2. Results of the Price Evaluations: Discuss the results of the price evaluations for each vendor that submitted a proposal.
3. Trade-off Analysis: Describe the analysis that led to the recommendation of the prime contractor that should be awarded the task order.

D. Exception: Note: Complete section D only if an exception to the “Fair Opportunity to be Considered” process is being claimed.

If the specific requirements meet the criteria for one of the five FASA-allowed (Section 803) exceptions to Fair Opportunity and the TO is, therefore, exempt from the evaluation described in part C above, check the appropriate exception and provide justification for why this task order is exempt from Fair Opportunity.

1. The agency has such urgent need for services that providing such opportunity would result in unacceptable delays. (attach justification)
2. Only one contractor is capable of providing such services required at the level of quality required because the services ordered are unique or highly specialized. (attach justification)
3. The order should be issued on a sole-source basis in the interest of economy and efficiency as a logical follow-on to a task order already issued under the ITS-SB contract, provided that all contractors were given fair opportunity to be considered for the original order. (Enter the contract and task order number of the original task order.)

Contract W91QUZ-11-D- , Task Order

4. It is necessary to place an order to satisfy a minimum guarantee.
5. A statute expressly authorizes or requires that the purchase be made from a specified source.

E. Authorized Official

(SRD must be signed by the authorized selection official, e.g., ordering contracting officer. Electronic signature (/s/) is acceptable.)

Name, Signature, and Date: